Short communication

Single dose testosterone administration reduces loss chasing in healthy females

Yin Wu [a,b,1], Jinting Liu [a,b,c,1], Lujing Qu [b], Christoph Eisenegger [d], Luke Clark [e], Xiaolin Zhou [b,f,g,h,*]

[a] Research Center for Brain Function and Psychological Science, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China
[b] Center for Brain and Cognitive Sciences and Department of Psychology, Peking University, Beijing, China
[c] China Center for Special Economic Zone Research, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China
[d] Neuropsychopharmacology and Biopsychology Unit, Department of Basic Psychological Research and Research Methods, Faculty of Psychology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
[e] Centre for Gambling Research at UBC, Department of Psychology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
[f] Key Laboratory of Machine Perception (Ministry of Education), Peking University, Beijing, China
[g] Beijing Key Laboratory of Behavior and Mental Health, Peking University, Beijing, China
[h] IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Peking University, Beijing, China

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Testosterone has been linked to modulation of impulsivity and risky choice, potentially mediated by changes in reward or punishment sensitivity. This study investigated the effect of testosterone on risk-taking and the adjustment of risk-taking on trials following a gain or a loss. Loss chasing is operationalized herein as the propensity to recover losses by increasing risky choice. Healthy female participants (n = 26) received a single-dose of 0.5 mg sublingual testosterone in a double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover design. At 240 min post-administration, participants performed a gambling task with a high and a low risk option. In the placebo condition, participants were more likely to choose the high risk option following losses compared to wins. This effect was abolished on the testosterone session. Ignoring prior outcomes, no overall changes in risk-taking were observed. Our data indicate that testosterone affects human decision-making via diminishing sensitivity to punishment.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Individuals tend to respond to losses during gambling by increasing their bets on subsequent gambles, a phenomenon referred as "loss chasing". This tendency is considered a cardinal symptom of disordered gambling, and is one of the most commonly endorsed symptoms for gambling disorder in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) (Toce-Gerstein et al., 2003). In a large naturalistic dataset of online poker players, experienced players responded to major losses (>$1,000 in a single hand) by becoming more aggressive on the next hand, and betting on weaker hands to stay in the game (Smith et al., 2009). Laboratory studies have shown increased risk taking behavior following a single loss compared with a single gain (Gehring and Willoughby,

2002). Loss chasing has also been demonstrated in a cumulative manner such that the probability of placing a high bet increased as losing streaks grew longer (Studer et al., 2015).

While there have been efforts to clarify the neurochemical basis of loss chasing with a particular focus on brain monoamines (Campbell-Meiklejohn et al., 2011), the role of hormones has not been systematically investigated. Evidence for a potential role of the sex hormone testosterone stems from research showing that males with higher levels of salivary testosterone showed higher levels of risk-taking according to self-reports (Vermeersch et al., 2008), on laboratory tasks with financial incentives (Apicella et al., 2008, 2014), and in naturalistic studies of stock traders (Coates and Herbert, 2008). Nevertheless, some inconsistent findings have also been reported, including evidence for nonlinearity (Stanton et al., 2011), effects of gender, and differences with incentive structure (see Apicella et al., 2015 for a review).

In studies manipulating testosterone levels, sublingual testosterone administration in women was shown to increase risky choices on the Iowa Gambling Task. Such an effect may reflect a

reduction in punishment sensitivity, and/or an increase in reward sensitivity (van Honk et al., 2004). A trial of the aromatase inhibitor letrozole in healthy men showed that raised testosterone levels were associated with increased risk-taking under ambiguity (i.e., unknown probabilities) but not under conditions with known probabilities (Goudriaan et al., 2010).

The aim of the present study was to investigate the effects of a single dose of testosterone on loss chasing in women. We used a double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover design. Participants played a gambling task where they were asked to choose one of the two cards, displaying the numerals 5 and 25. Each card could win or lose the displayed amount with a probability of 0.5. Thus, the two options both have expected values of zero, but the 5 option is operationally "safe" (low outcome variance) and the 25 option is risky. We tested for an overall effect of testosterone administration to increase risk-taking on the task, and we hypothesized that ignoring prior outcome, testosterone would increase risk-raking. We also tested an effect on loss-chasing, manifested in how participants adjusted their choice towards the risky option following losses compared to wins (Gehring and Willoughby, 2002). We predicted that testosterone would influence loss-chasing given its effect upon reward/punishment sensitivity (van Honk et al., 2004). Second-digit-to-fourth digit (2D:4D) ratios were measured, in light of earlier findings that the effect of testosterone administration can be moderated by this proxy for prenatal testosterone exposure in both women (van Honk et al., 2011) and men (Carré et al., 2015).

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Twenty-six healthy females (mean age = 21.5 years, SD = 1.96; age range = 18–25) were recruited through university advertisements. All the contacted persons were screened in a telephone interview to exclude individuals (5 in total) taking psychotropic medications, or having any psychiatric or neurological disorders. We only recruited females as the dosing and pharmacokinetics associated with single sublingual administration of testosterone are only established for women, and are unclear in men (Tuiten et al., 2000). Participants were instructed to abstain from alcohol, caffeine intake and smoking for 24 h before the testing session. They were tested within 10 days after the beginning of the menstrual cycle (interval of two testing days, M = 25.96 days, SD = 6.32, range = 11–36), when endogenous levels of sex hormones tend to be low and stable. Each participant received a single dose of testosterone and placebo in a crossover, double-blind, placebo-controlled, within-participant design. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Department of Psychology, Peking University. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Participants were paid 240 Chinese Yuan (~$36.84) as a show-up fee. Then they were endowed with 20 Yuan to play the gambling task. The points that were gained or lost on the task were added or subtracted from this endowment, which was a bonus payment.

2.2. Testosterone administration

The testosterone preparation contained 0.5 mg of testosterone base, 5 mg of cyclodextrin (as a carrier), 5 mg of ethanol, and 5 mL of water. The placebo contained no testosterone but was otherwise identical. Testosterone and placebo were administrated sublingually. All sessions started at 13:00 and lasted approximately 5 h. Due to the established time lag of 4 h for behavioral effects to appear after sublingual application of 0.5 mg testosterone in healthy young


Fig. 1. Sequence of events in a single trial. This trial displays a loss outcome, on which the participant has lost 25 on the chosen card (For interpretation of the references to color in the figure, the reader is referred to the web version of the article).

women (Tuiten et al., 2000), the gambling task commenced 4 h post-dosing. The participants also completed two further tasks of social cognition, not reported here. During the waiting period, participants rested in the laboratory and were provided with newspapers and magazines that were not related to the study.

2.3. Gambling task

Participants completed 480 experimental trials on a computerized gambling task modified from Gehring and Willoughby (2002); see Fig. 1), and programmed using Presentation software (Neurobehavioral System Inc.). On each trial, participants were presented with two cards, displaying the numerals 5 and 25 that corresponded to the possible gain or loss outcomes with a probability of 0.5. Participants were informed that each point corresponded to 0.1 Chinese Yuan they could earn or lose. But they were not informed of the gain or loss probability, although the outcomes were pseudo-randomized to ensure the effective expected value was zero. They were asked to choose one of them by pressing the corresponding button within 3000 ms. After a jittered blank screen, the outcome on the selected card was presented for 1000 ms. The + or − symbols on the card indicated the amounts the participant won or lost. The next trial started after a 800 ms intertrial interval.

2.4. Digit ratio measurement

Digit ratio was measured from an image scan of the right-hand by measuring the length of the index (2D) and ring (4D) finger from the ventral proximal crease to the tip of the finger using Adobe Photoshop. Two experienced raters (blind to the purpose of the study) measured the 2D:4D ratio on three occasions, and the mean value was used for analysis. Inter-rater reliability was high, r = 0.96, p < 0.001.

Fig. 2. Proportion of risky choices as a function of prior outcome.

2.5. Mood measurement

The shortened version of the Profile of Mood States was used to control for possible effects of testosterone on anger, anxiety, fatigue, vigor, and depression.

2.6. Statistical analysis

We first compared the proportion choice of the high risk (25) option between the testosterone and placebo conditions. We then looked at the proportion of risky choices as a function of prior outcome. Testing order (testosterone first vs. placebo first) was entered in preliminary models and did not exert a significant main effect or interact with testosterone condition, both $ps > 0.1$, thus it was omitted in the following analysis.

3. Results

On average, participants' net earnings on the gambling task were -14.23 points ($SD = 47.68$) in the placebo condition, and -0.38 ($SD = 58.68$) in the testosterone condition. The outcomes did not differ between conditions, $t(25) = -0.97$, $p = 0.33$. Moreover, these final scores did not differ from zero in either condition, placebo: $t(25) = -1.52$, $p = 0.14$ and testosterone: $t(25) = 0.97$, $p = 0.97$, such that performance did not deviate from the neutral expectation in practice.

A comparison of the proportion of risky (25) choices between the testosterone and placebo conditions revealed no significant difference (testosterone: $M = 52.85\%$, $SD = 12.22\%$; placebo: $M = 52.55\%$, $SD = 8.39\%$), $t(25) = -0.16$, $p = 0.88$. Thus, sublingual testosterone administration had no overall influence upon risk-taking behavior in our female participants.

When we examined choice behavior as a function of prior outcome, using a 2 (Treatment: placebo vs. testosterone) × 2 (Prior Outcome: win vs. loss) × 2 (Magnitude: 25 vs. 5) within-participant ANOVA, a significant interaction was observed between Treatment and Prior Outcome, $F(1, 25) = 6.36$, $p = 0.018$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.20$. Analysis of simple main effects was conducted for the choice behavior in the placebo and testosterone conditions respectively (see Fig. 2). For the placebo condition, prior losses ($M = 55.13\%$, $SD = 9.46\%$) increased the probability of risky choice in the next trial relative to prior wins ($M = 50.63\%$, $SD = 9.39\%$), $F(1, 25) = 5.49$, $p = 0.027$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.18$. For the testosterone condition, risk choice did not differ on trials following losses ($M = 53.41\%$, $SD = 15.33\%$) compared to trials following wins ($M = 53.50\%$, $SD = 10.52\%$), $F(1, 25) = 0.002$, $p = 0.97$. When the two-way interaction was decomposed from the other direction, risky choice did not differ between testosterone

and placebo conditions on trials following losses, $F(1, 25) = 0.55$, $p = 0.47$, nor on trials following gains, $F(1, 25) = 2.45$, $p = 0.13$. The proportion of risky choices was significantly above chance (50%) after losses in the placebo condition, one-sample t test $t(25) = 2.77$, $p = 0.01$, whereas for the other conditions, all $ps > 0.1$. The other main effects and interactions were not significant: Treatment, $F(1, 25) = 0.09$, $p = 0.76$; Prior Outcome, $F(1, 25) = 1.35$, $p = 0.26$; Magnitude, $F(1, 25) = 0.13$, $p = 0.72$; Treatment × Magnitude, $F(1, 25) = 0.06$, $p = 0.81$; Prior Outcome × Magnitude, $F(1, 25) = 0.06$, $p = 0.81$; Treatment × Prior Outcome × Magnitude, $F(1, 25) = 0.001$, $p = 0.97$.

For each participant, we derived a change score to represent the difference in loss chasing (proportion of risky choices following losses minus wins) in the testosterone condition relative to the placebo condition. For example, if the proportion of risky choices following losses and wins were 60% and 51% in the placebo condition, and 53% and 52% in the testosterone condition, then the change score was +8% (i.e., (60%−51%) − (53%−52%)). This index was not correlated with 2D:4D ratio measurement, r = −0.02, $p > 0.1$. Paired t tests on the POMS mood state subscales showed no significant relationships with the loss chasing change score, all $ps > 0.1$.

4. Discussion

The present study investigated the effect of testosterone on choice behavior and loss chasing in a gambling task. Exogenous testosterone did not influence the proportion of risky choices in our female sample, consistent with previous observations that testosterone administration has little effect on basic risk preferences (Boksem et al., 2013; Zethraeus et al., 2009).

Under placebo, participants showed a clear loss chasing effect such that they selected more often the risky alternative following losses than following gains (Gehring and Willoughby, 2002). This is consistent with a broad definition of the gambler's fallacy that people do not expect runs of consecutive identical outcomes in a random sequence. Accordingly, people strategically increase their bets following losses, given a perceived increase in the probability of winning on the next trial (Studer et al., 2015). Strikingly, this pattern was abolished with testosterone administration: the prior outcomes had little effect upon subsequent choice, such that participants were equally likely to choose the risky option following wins and losses. One possible interpretation is that testosterone may have altered perception of randomness in sequential trials, such that participants were less likely to succumb to the gambler's fallacy. However, we consider this unlikely, as testosterone did not affect risk-taking after wins or losses by themselves, but rather affected the increase in risk-taking after losses relative to wins. As such, our data support the hypothesis that testosterone administration diminished sensitivity to losses in the gambling task, such that participants did not show adjustment of risk-taking on the subsequent trials after losses. Previous research has demonstrated that testosterone administration increased disadvantageous choices in the Iowa Gambling task, which could be driven by either diminished punishment sensitivity and/or enhanced reward sensitivity (van Honk et al., 2004). Testosterone also blunted emotional responses to negative affective stimuli, i.e. fearful (van Honk et al., 2005) and angry (Terburg et al., 2012) facial expressions; in a social poker game, testosterone administration also decreased betting on weak cards (van Honk et al., 2016).

One mechanism by which testosterone may modulate loss chasing is through its effect upon dopaminergic system, as shown in both animal and human fMRI work (Hermans et al., 2010). For example, previous research has associated dopaminergic dysfunction in problem gambling with loss chasing behavior (Linnet et al., 2010). Future experiments could test this mediatory role of

dopaminergic transmission on the effects of testosterone as well as neural mechanisms by which these systems modulate loss chasing.

Role of the funding source

Contributors

Y.W., J.L. and X.Z. developed the concepts for the study. J.L. and L.Q. collected the data. Y.W. and L.Q. analyzed the data. All authors contributed to the manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript for submission.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

References

Apicella, C., Dreber, A., Campbell, B., Gray, P., Hoffman, M., Little, A., 2008. Testosterone and financial risk preferences. Evol. Hum. Behav. 29, 384–390.

Apicella, C.L., Dreber, A., Mollerstrom, J., 2014. Salivary testosterone change following monetary wins and losses predicts future financial risk-taking. Psychoneuroendocrinology 39, 58–64.

Apicella, C.L., Carré, J.M., Dreber, A., 2015. Testosterone and economic risk taking: a review. Adapt. Hum. Behav. Physiol. 1, 358–385.

Boksem, M.a.S., Mehta, P.H., Van den Bergh, B., van Son, V., Trautmann, S.T., Roelofs, K., Smidts, A., Sanfey, A.G., 2013. Testosterone inhibits trust but promotes reciprocity. Psychol. Sci. 24, 2306–2314.

Campbell-Meiklejohn, D., Wakeley, J., Herbert, V., Cook, J., Scollo, P., Ray, M.K., Selvaraj, S., Passingham, R.E., Cowen, P., Rogers, R.D., 2011. Serotonin and dopamine play complementary roles in gambling to recover losses. Neuropsychopharmacology 36, 402–410.

Carré, J.M., Ortiz, T.L., Labine, B., Moreau, B.J.P., Viding, E., Neumann, C.S., Goldfarb, B., 2015. Digit ratio (2D:4D) and psychopathic traits moderate the effect of exogenous testosterone on socio-cognitive processes in men. Psychoneuroendocrinology 62, 319–326.

Coates, J.M., Herbert, J., 2008. Endogenous steroids and financial risk taking on a London trading floor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 105, 6167–6172.

Gehring, W.J., Willoughby, A.R., 2002. The medial frontal cortex and the rapid processing of monetary gains and losses. Science 295, 2279–2282.

Goudriaan, A.E., Lapauw, B., Ruige, J., Feyen, E., Kaufman, J.-M., Brand, M., Vingerhoets, G., 2010. The influence of high-normal testosterone levels on risk-taking in healthy males in a 1-week letrozole administration study. Psychoneuroendocrinology 35, 1416–1421.

Hermans, E.J., Bos, P.A., Ossewaarde, L., Ramsey, N.F., Fernández, G., van Honk, J., 2010. Effects of exogenous testosterone on the ventral striatal BOLD response during reward anticipation in healthy women. Neuroimage 52, 277–283.

Linnet, J., Peterson, E., Doudet, D.J., Gjedde, A., Møller, A., 2010. Dopamine release in ventral striatum of pathological gamblers losing money. Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 122, 326–333.

Smith, G., Levere, M., Kurtzman, R., 2009. Poker player behavior after big wins and big losses. Manag. Sci. 55, 1547–1555.

Stanton, S.J., Mullette-Gillman, O.A., McLaurin, R.E., Kuhn, C.M., LaBar, K.S., Platt, M.L., Huettel, S.A., 2011. Low- and high-testosterone individuals exhibit decreased aversion to economic risk. Psychol. Sci. 22, 447–453.

Studer, B., Limbrick-Oldfield, E.H., Clark, L., 2015. Put your money where your mouth is!: Effects of streaks on confidence and betting in a binary choice task. J. Behav. Decis. Making 28, 239–249.

Terburg, D., Aarts, H., van Honk, J., 2012. Testosterone affects gaze aversion from angry faces outside of conscious awareness. Psychol. Sci. 23, 459–463.

Toce-Gerstein, M., Gerstein, D.R., Volberg, R.A., 2003. A hierarchy of gambling disorders in the community. Addiction 98, 1661–1672.

Tuiten, A., Van Honk, J., Koppeschaar, H., Bernaards, C., Thijssen, J., Verbaten, R., 2000. Time course of effects of testosterone administration on sexual arousal in women. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 57, 149–153.

Vermeersch, H., T'sjoen, G., Kaufman, J.M., Vincke, J., 2008. The role of testosterone in aggressive and non-aggressive risk-taking in adolescent boys. Horm. Behav 53, 463–471.

van Honk, J., Schutter, D.J.L., Hermans, E.J., Putman, P., Tuiten, A., Koppeschaar, H., 2004. Testosterone shifts the balance between sensitivity for punishment and reward in healthy young women. Psychoneuroendocrinology 29, 937–943.

van Honk, J., Peper, J.S., Schutter, D.J.L.G., 2005. Testosterone reduces unconscious fear but not consciously experienced anxiety: implications for the disorders of fear and anxiety. Biol. Psychiatry 58, 218–225.

van Honk, J., Schutter, D.J., Bos, P., a Kruijt, A.-W., Lentjes, E.G., Baron-Cohen, S., 2011. Testosterone administration impairs cognitive empathy in women depending on second-to-fourth digit ratio. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108, 3448–3452.

van Honk, J., Will, G., Terburg, D., Raub, W., Eisenegger, C., Buskens, V., 2016. Effects of testosterone administration on strategic gambling in poker play. Sci. Rep. 6, 18096.

Zethraeus, N., Kocoska-Maras, L., Ellingsen, T., von Schoultz, B., Hirschberg, A.L., Johannesson, M., 2009. A randomized trial of the effect of estrogen and testosterone on economic behavior. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106, 6535–6538.